

A Generalized Montgomery Phase Formula for Rotating Self Deforming Bodies

Alejandro Cabrera*
Departamento de Matemáticas
Universidad de La Plata
Calle 50 esq 115 (1900)
La Plata, Argentina

October 8, 2018

Abstract

We study the motion of self deforming bodies with non zero angular momentum when the changing shape is known as a function of time. The conserved angular momentum with respect to the center of mass, when seen from a rotating frame, describes a curve on a sphere as it happens for the rigid body motion, though obeying a more complicated non-autonomous equation. We observe that if, after time ΔT , this curve is simple and closed, the deforming body's orientation in space is fully characterized by an angle or phase θ_M . We also give a reconstruction formula for this angle which generalizes R. Montgomery's well known formula for the rigid body phase. Finally, we apply these techniques to obtain analytical results on the motion of deforming bodies in some concrete examples.

MSC2000 Subject Classification Numbers: 53Z05 (Primary), 70F99 74A05 93B29 (secondary)

JGP SC: Classical mechanics, real and complex differential geometry

Keywords: Deformable bodies, reconstruction phases, time-dependent non-integrable classical systems

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Background	2
1.2	Main results	3
2	Physical setting	4
2.1	Deformable bodies	4
2.2	Self deforming body hypothesis	5
2.3	Equations of motion	6
2.3.1	Gauge freedom	8

*Corresponding author. E-mail: cabrera@mate.unlp.edu.ar
Tel.:+54-221-4229850 int 105 Fax:+54-221-4245875.

3	Phases in the self deforming body motion	9
3.1	Reconstruction	9
3.1.1	Reconstruction of $c(t)$ from $\tilde{c}(t)$ in the bundle $Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0/SO(3)$:	9
3.1.2	Reconstruction of $R(t)$ from $\Pi(t)$ in the bundle $SO(3) \longrightarrow S_L^2$:	9
3.2	Generalized Montgomery formula	10
3.2.1	Preliminaries	11
3.2.2	The formula	12
4	Some Applications	14
4.1	Solutions on the sphere	14
4.1.1	Cases with $I(t) = \text{diag}(I_1(t), I_2(t), I_3(t))$ and $L(\dot{d}_0(t)) = 0$ for all t	15
4.2	Examples	17

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

We are going to study the problem of describing the motion of a rotating body whose shape is changing with time in a known controlled fashion. A particular case of this problem is the one in which the body's shape is constant in time, i.e. a *rigid body*.

As well known, a free *rigid body* rotates about its center of mass in a rather complicated way, depending on how its mass is distributed in space. This distribution is represented by the corresponding *inertia tensor* and the motion is such that the (*spatial*) *angular momentum* with respect to the *center of mass* is a conserved quantity.

Analytically, the orientation of the body with respect to an inertial reference frame can be obtained by, first, solving *Euler equations* for the (body) angular momentum and, finally, *reconstructing* the desired curve in the space of rotations from the momentum one. A beautiful result by R. Montgomery ([4]) states that, when the momentum curve completes a period, the orientation of the body in space is the initial one up to a rotation in a certain angle about the (conserved) angular momentum direction. Moreover, he derived a *reconstruction formula* (see [1]) for that angle, usually called the *rigid body phase*, which involves a *geometrical* (an holonomy) and a *dynamical* (energy and period values) contribution.

Now, when a rotating body is *free*, but not rigid because its shape changes with time in a prescribed fashion, the way in which the mass is distributed in space is thus also changing with time. How does such a body move? Or, since we know how its *shape* is changing: which is the rotation about the center of mass induced by this changing mass distribution? For self deforming bodies with *zero angular momentum*, this question was answered by Shapere and Wilczek in [8]. In that case, the induced reorientation has a *pure geometric nature* because it is described by a *horizontal curve* with respect to the *mechanical connection* in a $SO(3)$ -principal fiber bundle over *shape space* (see [8], [5] and references therein).

Another related problem is that of finding the *optimal sequence of deformations* in order to induce a *given reorientation* of the deforming body. This is an *optimal control problem* which generalizes the well known *falling cat problem* (see [5]). The problem we want to analyze is, in a sense, the *orthogonal* to the above control problem: we *know* the sequence of deformations and we want to *find* the induced reorientation.

1.2 Main results

In the present paper we shall focus on a case not covered in [8], i.e. the case in which a *self deforming body* rotates with *non-zero* (conserved) angular momentum.

Our main result is an expression for an angle or *phase* that determines, at specific times, the *exact orientation of a spinning self deforming body with non zero angular momentum*, generalizing R. Montgomery's formula [4].

The examples that we shall be keeping in mind are the ones in which *someone reaccommodates the furniture in a spacecraft or an antenna coming out from a satellite in orbit*.

Notice that in the above concrete examples, the body is acted by external forces (e.g. gravity). Nevertheless, also note that for *small* objects like satellites in orbit the angular momentum with respect to the center of mass is approximately conserved. Within this approximation, the full motion can be described by two sets of *decoupled* equations: the ones for the center of mass (a *central force problem*) and the ones we shall give below for the rotation about the center of mass (a *self deforming body problem*).

The total reorientation of a self deforming body has two contributions: the one induced from the change in its shape (of *geometric nature* [8]) and the one we shall study, that follows from having non vanishing angular momentum (of *dynamical nature* as for a rigid body).

The class of deforming bodies we shall consider is the one that will be referred to as *self deforming bodies*. These bodies are defined by a pure *kinematical constraint* and a *dynamical hypothesis* described in section 2.2. In sec. 2.3, we shall derive the corresponding set of (second order) *non autonomous equations of motion* for the unknown rotation about the center of mass. These follow from the *conservation of the angular momentum* measured from a reference system having its origin at the center of mass and axes parallel to those of an inertial one for all time. We will refer to it as the *spatial angular momentum*.

Also in 2.3, we shall observe that, as in the rigid body problem, the desired induced rotation can be *reconstructed* from a solution of the associated *body angular momentum* (first order, non autonomous) equations. This is the angular momentum as seen from a reference frame which is *rotating with* the deforming body (see [8]). At this point, we can re-state our main result: when, after some time, the body angular momentum solution returns to its initial value, the reconstructed rotation curve returns to its initial value up to a rotation about the (conserved) spatial angular momentum direction; moreover, in section 3 we show that the angle of this rotation or *self deforming body phase* can be expressed (mod. 2π) by the reconstruction formula (13) involving a *geometric* and *dynamic* term. This result can be seen as a straightforward generalization of Montgomery's formula from the rigid body to the self deforming body motion.

This formula relates the body's orientation with the (non conserved) *energy integral* and the *geometry* of the (non zero) body angular momentum solution curve. In the zero angular momentum case of [8], as the motion is of a pure geometrical nature, the above phase becomes trivial.

As in the rigid body case, our formula can be applied when we have a geometric description of an underlying simple closed body angular momentum solution curve. Explicit time dependence of the equations implies that, in general, *energy is not conserved* during the motion of the body. Also, as the equations for the body angular momentum are non linear and have generic time-dependent coefficients, solutions are hard to describe in the general case.

In view of this last observation, in sec. 4 we complete this work by studying some particular classes of deformations. In each case, we shall be able to derive analytical results on the motion of the underlying deforming body by making simple dynamical estimates on the geometry of the

body angular momentum solutions and by thus applying the generalized Montgomery formula.

Acknowledgements: A.C. would like to thank Dr. J. Solomin for stimulating discussions and suggestions. He would also like to thank CONICET-Argentina for financial support.

2 Physical setting

2.1 Deformable bodies

Now, we review the setting presented in [5] (see also [8], [7]) for deformable bodies.

Let us call Q the **configurations space** of a system of N -particles or an extended body from the reference system $CM(t)$. Thus, $Q = \mathbb{R}^{3N-3}$ or $Q \equiv \{\text{smooth maps } q : B \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ s.t. } \int_B d\text{vol } \rho(x) q(x) = 0\}$ for B being a reference shape of the extended body. In both cases, the usual action of $SO(3)$ on \mathbb{R}^3 gives rise to an action of $SO(3)$ on Q . This action turns out to be free on

$$Q_0 = Q - Q_{1D}$$

where Q_{1D} is the set of points in Q representing configurations in which all the particles or the entire body is contained in a straight line. Hence,

$$Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0/SO(3)$$

defines a **principal fiber bundle**, whose base $B = Q_0/SO(3)$ is usually called the **shape space**.

In both particle system and extended body cases, the manifold Q_0 (and also Q) has a **Riemannian structure** induced by the usual scalar product of \mathbb{R}^3 . So there is a natural *principal connection* on the bundle $Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0/SO(3)$ defined by choosing as the horizontal subspaces the orthogonal complement to the vertical subspaces with respect to this metric. This is usually called the **mechanical connection** on the bundle $Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0/SO(3)$.

Notation: From now on,

- S will denote a given **inertial reference frame**,
- $CM(t)$ will denote the reference frame with **origin at the center of mass** of the body $r_{CM}(t)$ for each t and **axes parallel to those of S** ,
- $\widetilde{CM}(t)$ will denote any reference frame with **origin at the center of mass** of the body, with (possibly) **rotating axes with respect to those of $CM(t)$** .

Remark 2.1 (*Reference systems*) Note that a point $q_0 \in Q_0$ over a shape $\pi(q_0) = b_0 \in Q_0/SO(3)$ gives the configuration of a body with shape represented by b_0 as seen from the reference system $CM(t)$. Another point \tilde{q}_0 s.t. $\pi(\tilde{q}_0) = \pi(q_0)$ then represents the configuration, as seen from $CM(t)$, of a body with the same shape but, now, rotated with respect to the one represented by q_0 . We can also interpret \tilde{q}_0 as describing the *same body* but as seen from a rotated reference system $\widetilde{CM}(t)$. This last interpretation of the different points of a fiber $\pi^{-1}(b_0)$ is the one that we shall keep in mind for the rest of the paper. See also the discussion in ref. [8].

2.2 Self deforming body hypothesis

Let us call $\tilde{r}_{io}(t)$ the position of the i -th particle with respect to $\tilde{S}(t)$ at time t . Then, for each time t , there exist a global rotation $R(t) \in SO(3)$ and a translation $T(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the position with respect to the inertial reference frame S is

$$r_i = R(t)\tilde{r}_{io}(t) + T(t). \quad (1)$$

A **self deforming body** is defined to be a system of particles or an extended object satisfying:

- i) **Kinematics:** There exist a *reference frame* $\tilde{S}(t)$, not necessarily inertial, from which we know $\tilde{r}_{io}(t)$ or, equivalently, a *reference curve* $d_0(t)$ in Q_0 . Consequently, we also have a corresponding *shape space curve* $\tilde{c}(t) = \pi(d_0(t))$.
- ii) **Dynamics:** The constraint forces which act on the particles in order to give this prescribed motions $\tilde{r}_{io}(t)$ are *internal forces* satisfying the *strong action-reaction principle*. This means that all forces acting on the particle i are caused by other particles j 's and $F_{ij}^{int} = -F_{ji}^{int}$ with F_{ij}^{int} parallel to the vector $r_{ij} = r_i - r_j$.

Condition (i) can be seen as a set of *time dependent kinematical constraints* generalizing the usual ones of rigidity: from $\tilde{S}(t)$ we know how the body's shape is changing (see also [8]).

Example 2.2 (*Space-craft*) For the system being a space-craft, $\tilde{S}(t)$ could be chosen as a frame fixed to some part of the ship or an astronaut himself.

Remark 2.3 (*Mechanical forces*) Notice that, although some forces do not satisfy the strong action-reaction principle (for instance, electro-magnetic forces), most of mechanical forces do.

Remark 2.4 (*Center of mass reference*) We can always take $\tilde{S}(t) = \widetilde{CM}(t)$ (recall our notation 2.1) having its origin at the center of mass at all time. See also the discussion at end of this section.

Remark 2.5 (*Non conservation of energy*) Note that with these kind of time dependent constraints, the *energy is not conserved* in general because the deformation is implemented by time dependent constraint forces.

The **self deforming body problem** is to find a curve $R(t)$ in $SO(3)$ such that for

$$\boxed{c(t) = R(t) \cdot d_0(t)} \quad (2)$$

in Q_0 the **spatial angular momentum with respect to the c.m. is conserved** (see below). This can also be seen as a **reconstruction problem** (see [1]) for the rotation $R(t)$ from the given $\tilde{c}(t)$.

We end this section with some remarks on the meaning and the measurement of $d_0(t)$. First, we would like to stress that the reference curve $d_0(t)$ is a *natural physical input* for the problem. To illustrate this fact, let us suppose that we want to describe the motion of a space-craft or satellite when someone is reordering the furniture inside of it, or when an antenna is coming out from this satellite. Before launching, in the lab., an engineer can attach the satellite to the floor and perform exactly the same deformation that will occur in space. The body does not rotate because it is attached, but the position of all its parts can be measured as a function of time t

from a lab. reference frame. Then, the position of the center of mass can be established for all t and, consequently, the position of every part of the body from a reference system $\widetilde{CM}(t)$ fixed to the center of mass can be known for each t .

This provides us with a curve $d_0(t)$ as desired: when the satellite is in orbit, the same deformation will occur yielding that $d_0(t)$ projects onto the same curve in shape space as the *physical curve* $c(t)$. Notice that, as the body can freely rotate about its center of mass, the position with respect to $CM(t)$, represented by $c(t)$, will differ, in general, by a rotation from the one described by $d_0(t)$ for each t . This rotation is precisely the solution $R(t)$ of the **self deforming body problem**.

Example 2.6 (*Rigid body*) Note that the rigid body is a special case of the self deforming body: take $\tilde{r}_{io}(t)$ constant for all time. More generally, $d_0(t)$ must be contained in the fiber over the point representing the constant shape of the rigid body for all t .

2.3 Equations of motion

The equations for $R(t)$, according to our definition of the self deforming body, can be derived from the **conservation of the angular momentum relative to the center of mass**

$$\dot{L}_{CM} = 0.$$

This means that the rotation must be such that, from a frame $CM(t)$ this quantity is conserved even though things are moving internally in the system.

Let us recall the well known quantities: for $R(t) \in SO(3)$ and $d(t) \equiv \{r_i(t)\} \in Q_0$,

- **body angular velocity** $\omega_B^{R(t)} \simeq R^{-1}\dot{R}$ is defined, as usual, by $\omega_B^{R(t)} \times v = R^{-1}\dot{R}v$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. We shall denote $\Psi : (so(3), [,]) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \times)$ the usual Lie algebra isomorphism (see for ex. [2]).;
- (locked) **Inertia tensor**: $I : Q_0 \rightarrow S_{>0}^{3 \times 3} = \{3 \times 3 \text{ real symmetric positive defined matrices}\}$, $v \cdot I(\{r_i\})w = \sum_i m_i (v \times r_i) \cdot (w \times r_i)$;
- **Angular momentum** (with respect to a rotated frame with origin at the center of mass): $L : TQ_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $L(\{r_i, \dot{r}_i\}) = \sum_i m_i r_i \times \dot{r}_i$, satisfying

$$\boxed{L(\frac{d}{dt}(R(t)d(t))) = R(t) I(d(t))\omega_B^{R(t)} + R(t) L(\frac{d}{dt}(d(t)))}; \quad (3)$$

This gives the **momentum map** for the $SO(3)$ action on TQ_0 (see the details in [1], [5]);

- **Kinetic energy**: $T : TQ_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $T(\{r_i, \dot{r}_i\}) = \sum_i m_i \dot{r}_i^2$, for which

$$T(\frac{d}{dt}(R(t)d(t))) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_B^{R(t)} \cdot I(d(t))\omega_B^{R(t)} + L(\frac{d}{dt}(d(t))) \cdot \omega_B^{R(t)} + T(\frac{d}{dt}(d(t))). \quad (4)$$

For the *physical curve* $c(t)$ in Q_0 , the following quantity must be conserved:

$$L_{CM} = L(\frac{d}{dt}c(t)) = L(\frac{d}{dt}(R(t)d(t))) = R(t) I(d_0(t))\omega_B^{R(t)} + R(t) L(\frac{d}{dt}(d_0(t)))$$

Here $I(d_0(t))$ is interpreted as the *inertia tensor measured from the reference frame* $\tilde{S}(t) = \widetilde{CM}(t)$ and we shall call

$$L_o(t) := L\left(\frac{d}{dt}(d_0(t))\right) = \sum_i m_i \tilde{r}_{io}(t) \times \dot{\tilde{r}}_{io}(t)$$

the *internal* (or *apparent* [8]) *angular momentum* with respect to $\widetilde{CM}(t)$.

The (*time-dependent, second order*) **equations of motion** for $R(t)$ thus read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}L(R(t)d_0(t)) &= 0 \\ I(d_0(t))\dot{\omega}_B &= I(d_0(t))\omega_B \times \omega_B + L_o(t) \times \omega_B - \frac{d}{dt}(I(d_0(t))) \omega_B - \frac{d}{dt}L_o(t) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

when we express them in terms of the body angular velocity ω_B .

The **reconstruction equations** for $R(t)$, once we solved the previous one for ω_B , are

$$\dot{R} = R \hat{\omega}_B \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{\omega}_B = \Psi^{-1}(\omega_B)$ with $\Psi : (so(3), [,]) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \times)$ the usual Lie algebra isomorphism (see [2]). The **initial value** $R(t_1)$ must be such that $R(t_1)d_0(t_1) = c(t_1)$ coincides with the initial value of the problem.

Example 2.7 (*Rigid body*) For the *rigid body*, recall that $d_0(t)$ must be contained on the fiber over a point in shape space. We can then choose the $d_0(t)$ (equiv. $\tilde{r}_{io}(t)$) to be constant for all t , so $I(d_0(t)) = I$ is constant in time and $L_o = 0$. In this case, we recover **Euler equations**:

$$I\dot{\omega}_B = I\omega_B \times \omega_B$$

as expected.

Also in analogy with the rigid body problem, as $L(\frac{d}{dt}c(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is conserved during the time evolution, if we define

$$\Pi(t) = I(d_0(t))\omega_B^{R(t)} + L\left(\frac{d}{dt}d_0\right) \quad (7)$$

we then have that $L(\frac{d}{dt}c(t)) = R(t)\Pi(t)$ and, hence, its \mathbb{R}^3 -norm $\|L(\frac{d}{dt}c(t))\| = \|\Pi(t)\|$

is constant for all t . The quantity $\Pi(t)$ represents the *angular momentum measured from the reference frame* $\tilde{S}(t)$ or **body angular momentum**.

Remark 2.8 (*Recovering the angular velocity*) Since $I(d_0(t))$ is invertible for all t , we can recover at every time t the angular velocity $\omega_B^{R(t)}$ from $\Pi(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\omega_B^{R(t)} = I^{-1}(d_0(t))(\Pi(t) - L(\frac{d}{dt}d_0)), \quad \forall t. \quad (8)$$

The corresponding *non-autonomous differential equation* for $\Pi(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is

$$\boxed{\dot{\Pi} = \Pi \times (I^{-1}(d_0(t))(\Pi - L(\frac{d}{dt}d_0(t))))} \quad (9)$$

$$\Pi(t_1) = R^{-1}(t_1)L_{CM}$$

whose solutions lie entirely on the *sphere* $S_L^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ of radius $\|L(\frac{d}{dt}c(t))\| = \|\pi\|$.

Using (8), the reconstruction equations for $R(t)$ become

$$\dot{R} = R \Psi^{-1}(I^{-1}(d_0(t))(\Pi(t) - L(\frac{d}{dt}d_0))) \quad (10)$$

or, equivalently, if we set $R(t_1) = id$ for simplicity

$$R(t) = Texp \int_{t_1}^{t_2} ds \Psi^{-1}(I^{-1}(d_0(s))(\Pi(s) - L(\frac{d}{dt}d_0(s))))$$

where T stands for the time ordered integral (see also [8]).

Remark 2.9 (*Non integrability*) In general, as noted before, the explicit time dependence of the self deforming body tells us that **energy is not conserved** and consequently, we cannot reduce the dimension of the problem any further.

2.3.1 Gauge freedom

By definition, we are given a curve $d_0(t)$ in the configuration space Q_0 , but we might want to work with another curve $\tilde{d}_0(t)$ defining an equivalent self deforming body problem, i.e. $\pi(\tilde{d}_0(t)) = \pi(d_0(t)) = \tilde{c}(t) \in Q_0/SO(3)$. This is equivalent to consider the self deforming body to be described from a new reference frame $\tilde{S}(t)$ having the same origin and rotating, in a certain known way, with respect to the initial one $\tilde{S}(t)$ from which the motion represented by $d_0(t)$ was originally described.

Remark 2.10 (*Gauge transformations*) This freedom in choosing the initial orientation curve $d_0(t)$ can be seen as *gauge freedom*. Correspondingly, the change $d_0(t) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{d}_0(t)$ can be thought of as a **gauge transformation**. For more details on this analogy, we refer the interested reader to [5], [8] and references therein.

Among all possible *lifts* $d_0(t)$ of $\tilde{c}(t)$ we consider two:

- a) the **horizontal lift** with respect to the *mechanical connection* in the bundle $Q_0 \rightarrow Q_0/SO(3)$. This is equivalent to the problem of finding a lift $\tilde{d}_0(t)$ such that $L(\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{d}_0(t)) = 0 \quad \forall t$ (see also remark 2.11).
- b) a lift $\tilde{d}_0(t)$ for which the **inertia tensor** $I(\tilde{d}_0(t))$ is **diagonal** for all t . This is equivalent to solve the problem of finding a lift of the base curve $I(d_0(t))$ along the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} \times SO(3) &\rightarrow S_{>0}^{3 \times 3} \\ (a, R) &\mapsto RaR^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{A} := \{3 \times 3 \text{ diagonal positive defined matrices}\}$.

Remark 2.11 (*Deformable bodies with zero angular momentum*) In ref. [8], it is shown that, given a shape space curve, the motion of a self deforming body with zero angular momentum is described by the corresponding horizontal lift as in (a) above. These computations also arise in the *falling cat problem* (see [5][7]) and other interesting problems (see references in [8]).

Remark 2.12 (*Simplifying the equations*) Choosing a different $d_0(t)$ changes the time-dependence of the coefficients of equation (9). Thus, an appropriate choice could turn this equation into a *simpler equivalent one*. For example, choosing the horizontal lift implies that the equation has vanishing $L(d_0(t))$ term because this is zero by construction. We also see that there is an obvious simplification when choosing the lift keeping the inertia tensor $I(d_0(t))$ diagonal. But observe that this two simplifications *cannot always be carried out at the same time*, since the horizontal lift does not necessarily diagonalize the inertia tensor in general.

3 Phases in the self deforming body motion

3.1 Reconstruction

For completeness, we now describe two types of **reconstruction phases** ([1]) appearing in the configuration space during the motion of the body. In the rest of the paper, we shall focus only on the second (*abelian*) one.

3.1.1 Reconstruction of $c(t)$ from $\tilde{c}(t)$ in the bundle $Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0/SO(3)$:

Recall that, for each t , both $d_0(t)$ and $c(t)$ belong to the fiber over $\tilde{c}(t)$ in shape space $Q_0/SO(3)$ (see section 2.2). When the shape space curve is closed in $[t_1, t_2]$, we can then follow the **standard procedure for reconstruction** ([1]): choose $d_0(t)$ to be the horizontal lift with respect to the *mechanical connection* having $d_0(t_1) = c(t_1)$ as initial value. Then, $d_0(t_2) = R_G c(t_1)$ with R_G being the *holonomy* of the base path $\tilde{c}(t)$ measured from $c(t_1)$ with respect to this connection (see remark 2.11 and sec. 2.1). This is often called the (*non abelian*) **geometric phase**. Finally, under these assumptions, the reconstruction formula reads

$$c(t_2) = R_D(t_2) R_G(t_1) c(t_1)$$

where $R_D(t_2)$ is usually called the (non abelian) **dynamical phase**. This dynamical phase can be obtained by solving eq. (5) with the initial value $R_D(t_1) = Id$ and with the above *horizontal* choice of $d_0(t)$, i.e. with $L(d_0) = 0$. For details on general reconstruction see [1]. The interested reader can find details about this reconstruction for a deforming body motion with *zero angular momentum* in [8]. For a study of phases in the $N = 3$ body problem, we refer the interested reader to [6].

3.1.2 Reconstruction of $R(t)$ from $\Pi(t)$ in the bundle $SO(3) \longrightarrow S_L^2$:

Recall that, in general, the unknown rotation $R(t)$ in eq. (2) can be reconstructed via (10) once we have solved the equation (9) on the sphere. An interesting special case is when this solution $\Pi(t)$ is closed in the interval $[t_1, t_2]$, that is when

$$\Pi(t_1) = \Pi(t_2).$$

In this case, there is a unique angle θ_M naturally associated to this solution and to the initial condition $R(t_1)$ such that

$$R(t_2) = \exp(\theta_M \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) R(t_1),$$

yielding

$$c(t_2) = [\exp(\theta_M \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) R(t_1)] d_0(t_2)$$

where $\hat{L} = \Psi^{-1}(L) \in so(3)$. We see that θ_M defines an **abelian reconstruction phase** associated to the initial data $R(t_1)$ (coming from $c(t_1)$). This phase appears when reconstructing $R(t)$ from $\Pi(t)$ in a $U(1)$ -principal bundle $SO(3) \rightarrow S_L^2$ that we shall describe in the next section.

Remark 3.1 (*Interpretation of θ_M*) Recall that $R(t)$ takes the reference frame $\widetilde{CM}(t)$ to $CM(t)$. This implies that, at time t_2 as above, the orientation of the body, as seen from $CM(t)$, is precisely obtained by rotating the known configuration $d_0(t_2)$ about the conserved angular momentum direction (L_{CM}) in the angle θ_M . So this phase *fully characterizes* the position of the deforming body in space at specific times (i.e. t_2).

In the rest of the paper, we shall focus on the latter reconstruction procedure. Note that, as the second phase is abelian, it is more likely to have simpler closed expressions for its reconstruction.

Finally, we note that the most geometrically interesting situation is that in which both, the solution $\Pi(t)$ to (9) and the shape space base curve $\tilde{c}(t)$ in $Q_0/SO(3)$, are closed in the same interval $[t_1, t_2]$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(t_1) &= \Pi(t_2) \\ \tilde{c}(t_1) &= \tilde{c}(t_2). \end{aligned}$$

When this conditions hold, there is a geometrically defined phase in the bundle $Q_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} Q_0/SO(3)$

$$c(t_2) = \Delta R \cdot c(t_1)$$

independent of the choice of $d_0(t)$ (it only depends on the initial value $c(t_1)$) and having the following expression:

$$\Delta R = \exp(\theta_M \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) R(t_1) \Delta R_0 R^{-1}(t_1),$$

where $\Delta R_0 = R_0(t_2) R_0^{-1}(t_1)$ and $R(t_1)$ are fixed by the initial condition $c(t_1)$ and the angle θ_M is again given by the *generalized Montgomery formula* presented in the next section.

3.2 Generalized Montgomery formula

In this subsection, we give a *phase formula* for the reconstruction of the rotation $R(t)$ from a closed solution curve $\Pi(t)$ of the equation (9). This formula generalizes the well known one given by R. Montgomery in [4] for the rigid body phase. For the proofs, we shall use some differential geometric results that we review below.

3.2.1 Preliminaries

Recall the diagram (see [1])

$$\begin{aligned} so_-^*(3) &\xleftarrow{\pi} T^*SO(3) \xrightarrow{L^*} SO(3) \times so^*(3) \xrightarrow{J} so_-^*(3) \\ \xi &\longleftarrow (R, \xi) \longrightarrow Ad_R^* \xi \end{aligned}$$

where: $so_-^*(3)$ denotes the Poisson manifold $so^*(3)$ with its (minus) standard Poisson bracket; π and J are Poisson and anti-Poisson maps respectively and $Ad_R^* := (Ad_{R^{-1}})^*$ denotes the (left) coadjoint action of $SO(3)$ on $so^*(3)$. Recall (see e.g. [2]) that J is the *momentum map* associated to the left symplectic action of $SO(3)$ on $T^*SO(3)$. The trivialization $T^*SO(3) \xrightarrow{L^*} SO(3) \times so^*(3)$ by left translations is known as passing to *body coordinates*.

If we fix an element $L \in so_-^*(3) \simeq so(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ (the isomorphisms are compatible with the corresponding Poisson brackets), then we have that

$$\Psi(Ad_R^* \xi) = R \cdot \Psi(\xi)$$

thus

$$\pi(J^{-1}(L)) = S_L^2.$$

The sphere S_L^2 of radius $\|L\|$ defines a *symplectic leaf* in $so_-^*(3) \simeq so(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ (see [2]). Moreover,

in this case we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J^{-1}(L) &= \{(R, \Pi); R \cdot \Pi = L\} \simeq SO(3) \xrightarrow{\pi} S_L^2 \\ (R, R^{-1}L) &\longmapsto R^{-1}L \end{aligned}$$

is a $U(1)$ -principal fiber bundle (see [1]).

Now, consider the inclusion $J^{-1}(L) \xhookrightarrow{i} SO(3) \times so^*(3) \xrightarrow{L^*} T^*SO(3)$ and the $u(1)$ -valued 1-form on $J^{-1}(L)$

$$A := \frac{1}{\|L\|} i^* \Theta^L \tag{11}$$

where Θ^L is the canonical left invariant 1-form on $T^*SO(3)$ in *body coordinates*. It can be seen that A gives a *principal connection* in the principal $U(1)$ -bundle $J^{-1}(L) \xrightarrow{\pi} S_L^2$ ([1]). This connection 1-form satisfies

$$dA = -\frac{1}{\|L\|} i^* \omega^L$$

where $\omega^L = -d\Theta^L$ denotes the canonical symplectic 2-form on $T^*SO(3)$ in body coordinates. By the *reduction theorem* ([3], see also [2]),

$$i^* \omega^L = \pi^* \omega_\mu$$

with ω_μ the reduced symplectic form on S_L^2 . Finally, if dS denotes the standard area 2-form on the sphere $S_L^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$, then (see [2])

$$\omega_\mu = -\frac{1}{\|L\|} dS.$$

3.2.2 The formula

With these geometrical background, the following can be easily proved:

Proposition 3.2 *$R(t)$ is a solution of the (second order) equation of motion (5) iff $(R(t), \Pi(t)) \in J^{-1}(L) \subset T^*SO(3)$ is an integral curve of the time dependent vector field*

$$X(R, \Pi, t) = (R \Psi^{-1}(I^{-1}(d_0(t))(\Pi - L(\dot{d}_0))), \Pi \times (I^{-1}(d_0(t))(\Pi - L(\dot{d}_0)))).$$

Remark 3.3 (*Hamiltonization*) This result can be viewed as a time dependent *hamiltonization* from TQ_0 to $T^*SO(3)$ using the momentum map L and also a further *reduction* to $J^{-1}(L)$ of the problem equations of motion (5). See also similar comments about reduction for the 3-body problem phases in [6].

Thus, reconstructing $R(t)$ from $\Pi(t)$ is the same as finding a curve $(R(t), \Pi(t)) \in J^{-1}(L)$ in the $U(1)$ -bundle $J^{-1}(L) \simeq SO(3) \xrightarrow{\pi} S_L^2$ as above such that the projection to the base $\Pi(t) \in S_L^2$ is a solution of (9). Given $\Pi(t)$, we can apply the usual procedure of *reconstruction* ([1]): choose $R_0(t) \in J^{-1}(L)$ in a *natural geometric way* as the **horizontal lift** of $\Pi(t) \in S_L^2$ from the initial value $R_0(t_1) = R(t_1)$ with respect to the connection A . Now, let $\theta(t) \in U(1)$ be an angle to be determined by requiring the curve

$$\exp(\theta(t) \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) \cdot (R_0(t), R_0^{-1}(t)L) = (\exp(\theta(t) \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) R_0(t), R_0^{-1}(t)L) \in J^{-1}(L) \simeq SO(3)$$

to be the desired integral curve of $X(R, \Pi, t)$. In the above formula, \hat{L} denotes $\Psi^{-1}(L) \in so(3)$.

It follows that $\theta(t)$ must satisfy the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \|L\| \dot{\theta}(t) &= I^{-1}(d_0(t))\Pi(t) \cdot \Pi(t) - I^{-1}(d_0(t))L_0(t) \cdot \Pi(t) \\ \theta(t_1) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now, note that if $[t_1, t_2] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a closed interval, and $\Pi : [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow S_L^2$ is (any) continuous curve, then its image $Im(\Pi)$ is a compact, hence closed, subset of the sphere S_L^2 . So its complement $Im(\Pi)^C$ is open and it exists a closed disc $\bar{d} \subseteq Im(\Pi)^C$. We then have $Im(\Pi) \subseteq \bar{d}^C$ and we thus showed

Lemma 3.4 *The image $Im(\Pi)$ of a continuous map $\Pi : [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow S_L^2$ is entirely contained in an open disc $D \subseteq S_L^2$.*

We can now state our main result:

Proposition 3.5 (generalized Montgomery formula): *Let $\Pi(t)$ be a solution of (9) satisfying that $\Pi(t_1) = \Pi(t_2)$ for some interval $[t_1, t_2]$ and that the image of $\Pi : [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow S_L^2$ is a simple closed curve (i.e. $Im(\Pi)$ homeomorphic to the circle S^1) then $R(t_2) = \exp(\theta_M \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) R(t_1)$ and the angle θ_M is given (mod 2π) by the formula*

$$\theta_M = (\mp) \frac{area(\tilde{D})}{\|L\|^2} + \frac{1}{\|L\|} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt (I^{-1}(d_0(t))\Pi(t) - I^{-1}(d_0(t))L(\dot{d}_0)) \cdot \Pi(t) \tag{13}$$

where \tilde{D} is a surface in S_L^2 bounded by the image of Π . The $-$ (resp. $+$) sign corresponds to the case in which the solid angle defined by \tilde{D} on the sphere, with its time-oriented boundary $\Pi(t)$, is a positive (resp. negative) signed solid angle.

Remark 3.6 (*Signed solid angles*) As usual, we are considering a solid angle in the sphere to be positive or negative by applying the **right hand rule** to its oriented boundary (see [4]). Also notice that, *mod.* 2π , the above formula keeps the same form (i.e., with the $-$ sign) if we replace $\frac{area(\tilde{D})}{\|L\|^2}$ by the corresponding *signed solid angle*.

Remark 3.7 (*Relation to the energy*) The integrand in the right hand side of this formula can be expressed in terms of the total kinetic energy (see eq. (4)):

$$\begin{aligned} & (I^{-1}(d_0)\Pi(t) - I^{-1}(d_0)L(\dot{d}_0)) \cdot \Pi(t) = \\ & = 2T\left(\frac{d}{dt}(Rd_0)\right) - 2T\left(\frac{d}{dt}d_0\right) + I^{-1}(d_0)L(\dot{d}_0) \cdot L(\dot{d}_0) - I^{-1}(d_0)L(\dot{d}_0) \cdot \Pi(t) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: By the above mentioned reconstruction procedure and since $U(1)$ is abelian,

$$\begin{aligned} R(t_2) &= \exp(\theta_D \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) \cdot \exp(\theta_G \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) \cdot R(t_1) \\ &= \exp(\overbrace{(\theta_D + \theta_G)}^{\theta_M} \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) \cdot R(t_1), \end{aligned}$$

where θ_D is the *dynamical phase*, solution of eq. (12) and θ_G the *geometric phase*, given by the **holonomy** of the base path $\Pi(t)$ with respect to the connection A and measured from $R(t_1)$. Thus the dynamical contribution θ_D to θ_M is precisely the second term in the r.h.s. of eq. (13).

Let us then show that the remaining term coincides with the geometric contribution θ_G .

By the hypothesis and Lemma 3.4, $Im(\Pi)$ is entirely contained in a smooth disc D in S_L^2 . Being D contractible, the restricted principal $U(1)$ -bundle $J^{-1}(L) |_D \rightarrow D$ is *trivial* and, then, we have a smooth section $s : D \rightarrow J^{-1}(L)$. Once we have chosen the disk D containing the curve $Im(\Pi)$, the existence of a surface $\tilde{D} \subseteq S_L^2$ whose boundary is $\Pi(t)$ is obvious since D is diffeomorphic to an open disk in \mathbb{R}^2 and $Im(\Pi)$ is homeomorphic to S^1 . Thus, *mod* 2π , we can write (see [1])

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_G &= - \int \int_{\tilde{D}} s^*(dA) \\ &= - \frac{1}{\|L\|^2} \int \int_{\tilde{D}} dS = - \frac{area(\tilde{D})}{\|L\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

when the solid angle defined by \tilde{D} is positively oriented with respect to the (time oriented) boundary curve $\Pi(t)$. The last two equalities follow from the results reviewed in the previous section. Formula (13) is then completed. \square

Example 3.8 (*Rigid body*) For the rigid body, the kinetic energy T is conserved and, as we observed previously, $d_0(t)$ can be taken as a point for all t . So $L(\frac{d}{dt}d_0) = 0$ and the inertia tensor $I(d_0) = I$ is constant. In this case, the periodic solutions of Euler equations bound disks on the sphere, thus \tilde{D} defines the usual signed solid angle and the above formula becomes the well known reconstruction formula derived by R. Montgomery ([4]).

4 Some Applications

4.1 Solutions on the sphere

We shall now describe some tools which can be used to study the geometry of solutions of eq. (9) on the sphere S_L^2 . Focusing on some particular cases we will be able to use this characterization of the solutions to yield analytical results on the motion of self deforming bodies by calculating the associated generalized Montgomery phase θ_M .

- **Reconstruction of $R(t)$:** When the solution $\Pi(t)$ for some time interval $[t_A, t_B]$ is an open path, we noted before that the rotation $R(t)$ can be expressed as $\exp(\theta(t) \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) \cdot (R_0(t), R_0^{-1}(t)L)$, with $(R_0(t), R_0^{-1}(t)L)$ the horizontal lift of the base path $\Pi(t)$ with respect to the connection (11) and $\theta(t)$ a solution of eq. (12). When the solution $\Pi(t)$ is a closed simple curve for a time interval $[t_A, t_B]$, we have a well defined phase θ_M given by formula (13). So, given a solution $\Pi(t)$ in $[t_1, t_2]$, we can find a *total phase* by adding phases corresponding to sub-time intervals $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ for which the solution is a *simple open arc* in S_L^2 or a *closed simple curve* in S_L^2 . In the first case, we have a phase defined by

$$R(t_{i+1}) = \exp(\theta(t_{i+1}) \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) Par(R(t_i))$$

with $Par : \pi^{-1}(\Pi(t_i)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\Pi(t_{i+1}))$ the *parallel transport* (see [1]) in the $U(1)$ -principal bundle $J^{-1}(L) \xrightarrow{\pi} S_L^2$ of the initial condition $R(t_i)$, and $\theta(t)$ the solution of (12) with $\theta(t_i) = 0$. In the second case, fixing the initial value $R(t_i)$, the phase is defined by $R(t_{i+1}) = \exp(\theta_M \hat{L}) R(t_i)$ with θ_M given by formula (13).

- **The Energy:** As we noted before, in general, the energy is **not a conserved quantity** during the self deforming body motion. Nevertheless, if we know the evolution of the kinetic energy $T(\frac{d}{dt}(Rd_0))$ with time, we will be able to determine a specific subset of S_L^2 in which the corresponding solution $\Pi(t)$ lies. This fact can be shown as follows: let us define for each time t

$$\begin{aligned} E_t &: S_L^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ &: \Pi \longmapsto \frac{1}{2} \Pi \cdot I^{-1}(d_0(t)) \Pi. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$E_t(\Pi(t)) = T(\frac{d}{dt}(Rd_0)(t)) - T(\frac{d}{dt}d_0(t)) + \frac{1}{2} L(\dot{d}_0(t)) \cdot I^{-1}(d_0(t)) L(\dot{d}_0(t))$$

for $\Pi(t)$ a solution of (9). Hence, as $d_0(t)$ is given, $E_t(\Pi(t))$ is uniquely determined by the kinetic energy $T(\frac{d}{dt}(Rd_0)(t))$. In this case, the corresponding solution $\Pi(t)$ on the sphere at time t must lie in the set

$$E_t^{-1}(k(t)) \cap S_L^2$$

where

$$k(t) = T(\frac{d}{dt}(Rd_0)(t)) - T(\frac{d}{dt}d_0(t)) + \frac{1}{2} L(d_0(t)) \cdot I^{-1}(d_0(t)) L(d_0(t)).$$

The level sets $E_t^{-1}(k(t))$ are (generally non centered and rotated) *ellipsoids* for each $k \geq 0$ and each t . Also notice that, for a fixed time t_i , the intersection $E_{t_i}^{-1}(k(t_i)) \cap S_L^2$ gives the

set where the *body angular momentum of a rigid body with constant inertia tensor equal to* $I(d_0(t_0))$ and *energy* $k(t_1)$ would lie. Finally, the equation for the evolution of $E_t(\Pi(t))$ is

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_t(\Pi(t)) = [\Pi(t) \times I^{-1}(d_0(t))\Pi(t)] \cdot I^{-1}(d_0(t))L(d_0(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\Pi(t) \cdot \frac{d}{dt}[I^{-1}(d_0(t))]\Pi(t)$$

which is coupled to the equation (9) for $\Pi(t)$.

- **The arc-length:** for a given closed time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ we are going to find a bound for the length of $\Pi([t_1, t_2])$. To that end, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{dt}\Pi(t) \right\| &= \left\| \Pi \times (I^{-1}(d_0(t))(\Pi - L(\dot{d}_0(t)))) \right\| \\ &\leq \|\Pi\| (\|I^{-1}(d_0(t))\Pi\| + \|I^{-1}(d_0(t))L(\dot{d}_0(t))\|) \end{aligned}$$

and, if $\|I^{-1}(d_0(t))v\| \leq a^{-1}(t) \|v\|$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, then

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt}\Pi(t) \right\| \leq \|\Pi\|^2 a^{-1}(t) + \|\Pi\| a^{-1}(t) \|L(\dot{d}_0(t))\|.$$

Since $\|\Pi\| = \|L\| = l$ is constant, we then have that

$$length(\Pi([t_1, t_2])) \leq l \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a^{-1}(t)(l + \|L(\dot{d}_0(t))\|)dt$$

When $a^{-1}(t)$ is a very small function (compared to $\frac{1}{l(t_1-t_2)}$), we can deduce that $\Pi([t_1, t_2])$ is contained in a *small patch* in S_L^2 .

For general time dependent parameters $I^{-1}(d_0(t))$ and $L(\dot{d}_0(t))$ we cannot give a characterization of the solution $\Pi(t)$ of eq. (9). So we shall focus on some specific cases to illustrate how to handle concrete problems.

4.1.1 Cases with $I(t) = \text{diag}(I_1(t), I_2(t), I_3(t))$ and $L(\dot{d}_0(t)) = 0$ for all t .

Let us denote by $(1, 2, 3)$ the cartesian axes of $so^*(3) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ and, hence, $\Pi = (\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. In this cases, the intersections of each axis with the sphere S_L^2 give a constant solution of (9), because at that points Π is parallel to $I^{-1}(t)\Pi$ and the r.h.s. of eq. (9) vanishes.

The equation for the evolution of the energy becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_t(\Pi(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi(t) \cdot \frac{d}{dt}[I^{-1}(d_0(t))]\Pi(t)$$

and the arc-length is bounded by

$$length(\Pi([t_1, t_2])) \leq l^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a^{-1}(t)dt.$$

Now, we shall analyze further the special case

$$\boxed{I_1(t) < I_2(t) < I_3(t)}$$

for all $t \in [t_1, t_2]$. Notice that this is the case (up to renumbering the I_i 's) for small enough time intervals $[t_1, t_2]$. Under this conditions, the axes of the ellipsoids $E_t^{-1}(k(t))$ coincide with the cartesian axes in \mathbb{R}^3 and the arc-length is thus bounded by

$$length(\Pi([t_1, t_2])) \leq l^2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} I_1^{-1}(t) dt.$$

Fixing the time t , we have that through each point of S_L^2 passes a solution of Euler equations (rigid body) with inertia tensor $diag(I_1(t), I_2(t), I_3(t))$. For each time we then have the corresponding **homoclinic solutions** (see for ex. [1]), given by the intersection of S_L^2 with the ellipsoid of energy $k(t) = \frac{l^2}{I_2(t)}$.

Given a solution $\Pi(t) = (\Pi_1(t), \Pi_2(t), \Pi_3(t))$ of (9) for the interval $[t_1, t_2]$ with initial value $\Pi(t_1)$, the function $f(t) = E_t(\Pi(t))$ reaches a maximum and a minimum on $[t_1, t_2]$, denoted E_{max} and E_{min} respectively. The same happens with the value of the principal moments of inertia $I_i(t)$. The solution $\Pi(t)$ is then contained in a **connected "crown like" region** R which is the connected component of $\sqcup_{t \in [t_1, t_2]} E_t^{-1}([E_{min}, E_{max}]) \cap S_L^2$ which contains the initial value $\Pi(t_1)$.

We can now show the following results on the qualitative behavior of $\Pi(t)$:

1. if $\boxed{E_{min} > \frac{l^2}{I_{2min}}}$ then R is contained in either the semi-space $\Pi_1 > 0$ or in $\Pi_1 < 0$. In this case, $\Pi(t)$ evolves in S_L^2 describing a trajectory that *orbits surrounding the cartesian axis* 1. More precisely, if the initial point lies in the component with (say) $\Pi_1 > 0$, then the solution will lie in this component for all t in $[t_1, t_2]$. So if we consider spherical coordinates (θ, φ)

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 &= l \cos \theta \\ \Pi_2 &= l \sin \theta \cos \varphi \\ \Pi_3 &= l \sin \theta \sin \varphi \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi]$, it follows that $\theta(t) < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all t in $[t_1, t_2]$. From the equation (9) we can deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \varphi = \cos \theta [I_2^{-1}(t) - I_1^{-1}(t) + (I_3^{-1}(t) - I_2^{-1}(t)) \sin^2 \varphi] \quad (14)$$

thus, being $I_1(t) < I_2(t) < I_3(t)$, then $\varphi(t)$ is a *monotonous decreasing* function of time, showing that the solution $\Pi(t)$ tends to describe revolutions about the 1 axis.

2. if $\boxed{E_{max} < \frac{l^2}{I_{2max}}}$ then R is contained in either the semi-space $\Pi_3 > 0$ or in $\Pi_3 < 0$. In this case, $\Pi(t)$ evolves in S_L^2 describing a trajectory that *orbits surrounding the cartesian axis* 3, as in the previous case.
3. In **other cases**, the solution can pass from *orbiting* one axis to orbit another one. To show this, let us suppose that $E_{t_1}(\Pi(t_1)) > \frac{l^2}{I_{2min}}$ and that I_1 is constant. Then $length(\Pi([t_1, t_2])) \leq l^2 I_1^{-1}(t_2 - t_1)$ and so we can choose I_1 such that $\Pi([t_1, t_2])$ is contained in some small patch in S_L^2 . In the case that I_2 is also constant in time and $I_3(t)$ grows (note that the order is maintained in time), then $\frac{d}{dt} E_t(\Pi(t)) = \Pi_3^2(t) \frac{d}{dt} I_3^{-1}(t) < 0$. So the energy decreases as fast as we want if we make $I_3(t)$ grow sufficiently fast. Note

that $\Pi_3^2(t)$ is bounded from below because $\Pi([t_1, t_2])$ is in a small patch. In this situation, $E_{t_2}(\Pi(t_2))$ can be made smaller than $\frac{l^2}{I_{2min}}$, so the solution is able to pass from the regime (1) to the regime (2) described above when the energy E_t "crosses" the homoclinic energy boundary $\frac{l^2}{I_{2min}}$.

Remark 4.1 (*Return time*) In either of the previous cases (1) or (2) we can give a lower bound for the (shortest) return time $T = t_2 - t_1$ s.t. $\Pi(t_1) = \Pi(t_2)$. If we suppose that the solution starting at $\Pi(t_1)$ satisfies the conditions of (1) above and that it returns to this value for the first time at t_2 , then

$$T = t_2 - t_1 \geq \frac{2\pi \|\Pi(t_1) \times (1, 0, 0)\|}{l^2 I_{1max}^{-1}}.$$

The case corresponding to (2) is analogue.

Now, suppose that we are in the case considered in (1) ((2) is analogous) above and that, in some interval $[t_i, t_{i+1}] \subseteq [t_1, t_2]$, the solution $\Pi(t)$ describes a closed simple curve in S_L^2 . Then, we can apply formula (13) to find the corresponding phase. Taking into account the time orientation of the closed solution $\Pi(t)$ (fixed by (14)), if $\frac{area(\tilde{D})}{l^2} < 2\pi$ (resp. $> 2\pi$) we must then take the $+$ (resp. $-$) sign in (13) and we have that

$$\pm \frac{area(\tilde{D})}{l^2} + \frac{2}{l} E_{min} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \leq \theta_M \leq \pm \frac{area(\tilde{D})}{l^2} + \frac{2}{l} E_{max} (t_{i+1} - t_i). \quad (15)$$

Remark 4.2 (*Bounding θ_M mod. 2π*) Note that, since θ_M is defined mod. 2π , the above bounds yield *nontrivial* information when $\frac{2}{l} (E_{max} - E_{min}) (t_{i+1} - t_i) < 2\pi$.

4.2 Examples

We now apply the previous techniques to obtain estimates for the motion of simple classes of deforming bodies.

Example 4.3 (*Vibrational deformation*) In this case, we suppose that the body is globally shrinking or expanding, that is, the position of a particle from the given reference frame \tilde{S} is

$$r_{i_0}(t) = a(t)r_{i_0}$$

where r_{i_0} is a constant vector and $a(t)$ is a never vanishing positive scale factor. This means that we can choose the curve $d_0(t)$ in Q_0 such that

$$I(d_0(t)) = a^2(t)I_0$$

with I_0 the constant inertia tensor corresponding to the constant configuration $\{r_{i_0}\}$. By a constant rotation, we can choose the reference system \tilde{S} (equivalently, another curve $d_0(t)$) from which I_0 is diagonal. Then, eq. (9) on the sphere becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Pi = a^{-2}(t)(\Pi \times I_0^{-1}\Pi).$$

Given an initial value $\Pi(t_1 = 0)$, eq. (9) can be exactly solved yielding

$$\Pi(t) = \Pi_{RB}(\int_0^t a^{-2}(s) ds),$$

with Π_{RB} denoting the rigid body solution of Euler equations $\dot{\Pi} = \Pi \times I_0^{-1}\Pi$ with initial value $\Pi(t_1 = 0)$. Now, the function

$$f(\Pi) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi \cdot I_0^{-1}\Pi$$

is constant along the solutions. Note that $\Pi(t)$ describes a closed simple curve on the sphere for $t \in [0, T]$ when $\int_0^T a^{-2}(t) dt = T_{RB}$ equals the period of the rigid body solution Π_{RB} . In that case, the corresponding phase is

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_M &= -\Lambda_{RB} + \frac{2}{\|L\|} f(\Pi) \int_0^T a^{-2}(t) dt \\ &= -\Lambda_{RB} + \frac{2}{\|L\|} f(\Pi) T_{RB}\end{aligned}$$

where Λ_{RB} is the (signed) solid angle enclosed by the rigid body periodic solution Π_{RB} with energy $f(\Pi)$. Notice that this phase *coincides with the rigid body phase* for Π_{RB} ([4]). The motion of this kind of vibrating bodies is similar to rigid body motion up to a time reparameterization which is induced by the expansion/contraction.

Example 4.4 (*Expansion/Contraction of an axially symmetric body*): Let us consider the case of an axially symmetric body which expands in the direction of its symmetry axis, i.e., the case in which there exists a curve $d_0(t)$ such that

$$I(d_0(t)) = \text{diag}(I_1(t), I_2, I_3),$$

with $I_2 = I_3$. As in the previous case, eq. (9) can be exactly solved:

$$\Pi(t) = \Pi_{RB} \left(\int_0^t \frac{(I_1^{-1}(s) - I_3^{-1})}{I_1^{-1}(0) - I_2^{-1}} ds \right),$$

with Π_{RB} the rigid body solution to Euler equations $\dot{\Pi} = \Pi \times I^{-1}(d_0(t_1 = 0))\Pi$ and initial value $\Pi(t_1 = 0)$. The function $f(\Pi) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi \cdot I^{-1}(0)\Pi$ is again constant along the solution $\Pi(t)$, which is closed simple curve for $t \in [0, T]$ when $\int_0^T \frac{(I_1^{-1}(s) - I_3^{-1})}{I_1^{-1}(0) - I_2^{-1}} ds$ equals the rigid body period T_{RB} corresponding to Π_{RB} . In that case, the associated phase is

$$\theta_M = -\Lambda_{RB} + \frac{1}{\|L\|} \int_0^T \Pi(t) \cdot I^{-1}(d_0(t)) \Pi(t) dt,$$

where Λ_{RB} is the (signed) solid angle enclosed by the rigid body periodic solution Π_{RB} with constant energy $f(\Pi)$. Notice that, in general, this phase is *different* from the rigid body phase associated to Π_{RB} .

Example 4.5 (*An antenna coming out from a satellite along a principal axis*): We now consider the cases in which

$$I(d_0(t)) = \text{diag}(I_1(t), I_{2_0}, I_{3_0})$$

or

$$I(d_0(t)) = \text{diag}(I_{1_0}, I_{2_0}, I_3(t))$$

with $I_1(t)$ (or $I_3(t)$) an increasing function of time. These cases give simplified models for the situation in which an antenna comes out from an orbiting satellite along one of the principal

axes of inertia 1 or 3. Note that the satellite is free to rotate around its center of mass and so its motion can be described by eq. (8). Suppose that initially $I_1 < I_2 < I_3$. Then, in the first case, as $I_1(t)$ grows this relation might stop holding after some time, so the solution could pass from orbiting one axis to orbit another one. Consequently, We have no control on this kind of solution. More precisely, as

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_t(\Pi(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi_1^2(t)\frac{d}{dt}[I_1^{-1}(t)]$$

is negative implying that the energy decreases and the solution can pass from the case (1) to (2) of the previous section, describing an open curve on the sphere which we cannot characterize in general. In turn, in the second case the ordering prevails and

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_t(\Pi(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\Pi_3^2(t)\frac{d}{dt}[I_3^{-1}(t)]$$

is also negative. Since the energy decreases, if the initial value $\Pi(t_1)$ corresponds to case (2) of the previous section, the solution also evolves according to (2) and we have a good characterization of its behavior. In particular, if $\Pi([t_i, t_{i+1}])$ is a closed simple curve, we then know that the corresponding reconstructed rotation $R(t_{i+1})$ is $\exp(\theta_M \frac{\hat{L}}{\|L\|}) R(t_i)$ where from (15),

$$\pm \frac{\text{area}(\tilde{D})}{\|L\|^2} + \frac{2}{\|L\|} E_{\min} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \leq \theta_M \leq \pm \frac{\text{area}(\tilde{D})}{\|L\|^2} + \frac{2}{\|L\|} E_{\text{initial}} (t_{i+1} - t_i),$$

with E_{initial} the initial (hence the maximum) value of the energy E_t in $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $E_{\min} = E_{t_{i+1}}$. We thus note that we can have a better description of the motion of the satellite when the *antenna comes out along the largest principal axis of inertia*.

Remark 4.6 (*Slow deformations*) Intuitively, when the antenna comes out *very slowly*, the motion of the satellite will be close to a rigid body motion. This is reflected in the fact that when $\frac{d}{dt}[I_3^{-1}(t)]$ is *very small* (compared to $\frac{l^2 E_{\text{initial}}}{(t_{i+1} - t_i)}$), then $E_{\min} \sim E_{\text{initial}}$ and $\frac{\text{area}(\tilde{D})}{\|L\|^2} \sim \Lambda_{RB}$. So the phase θ_M is *approximately the same as the rigid body phase* associated to a rigid body with $I = I(d_0(t_i))$ and initial $\Pi(t_i)$.

Remark 4.7 (*Small bodies in the gravitational field*) For a body, v.g. a satellite orbiting the earth, which is *small* with respect to the interaction distance with another body (eg: the earth), it is a very good approximation to suppose that the gravitational force acting on a particle of mass m_i of this body is

$$F_i = m_i \frac{-G M}{(r_{CM} - P)^3} (r_{CM} - P)$$

where r_{CM} denotes the position of the center of mass of the body. P and M denote the position of the center of mass and the total mass of the second body (eg: the earth), respectively. We thus see that the equations of motion for the position of the center of mass (a *central force problem*) are totally decoupled from the equations of motion giving the rotation about the center of mass (a *self deforming body problem* as in ex. 4.5).

References

- [1] MARSDEN, J.E., MONTGOMERY, R., RATIU, T.: *Reduction, symmetry and phases in mechanics*. Memoirs of the AMS 436.
- [2] MARSDEN, J.E., RATIU, T.: *Introduction to Mechanics and Symmetry*. Springer-Verlag, (1994).
- [3] MARSDEN, J.E., WEINSTEIN, A.: *Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetries*. Rep.Math.Phys. 5, 121-130 (1974).
- [4] MONTGOMERY, R.: *How much does the rigid body rotate? A Berrys phase from the 18th century*. Am. J. Phys. **59** (5), May 1991, 394-398.
- [5] MONTGOMERY, R.: *Optimal Control of Deformable Bodies and its Relation to Gauge Theory* Proc. Geometry of Hamiltonian Systems Workshop (1988) ed T Ratiu (Berlin: Springer).
- [6] MONTGOMERY, R.: *The geometric phase of the three-body problem*. Nonlinearity 9 (1996) 1341–1360.
- [7] REINSCH, M., LITTLEJOHN, R.: *Gauge fields in the separation of rotations and internal motions in the n-body problem* Rev. Mod. Phys. 69, 213 (1997).
- [8] SHAPER, A., WILCZEK, F.: *Gauge kinematics of deformable bodies* Am. J. Phys. 57 (6), 514-518, June 1989.